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## (1) Evaluating odds and risks

## Frequencies

| - | Des | $\text { tives } \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{Te}}$ | $\frac{\text { I' }{ }_{\text {I }}{ }^{V}}{}$ | Mixed Models | Regressioh | Frequencies | Distri | R (Beta) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | * logHE4 | - $\log \mathrm{CA} 125$ | * logCA19-9 | * logCEA | yePatient | Classical | ology |  |
| 1 | 3.58 | 4.25 | 3.33 | 0.22 |  | Binomial Test |  |  |
| 2 | 3.42 | 5.45 | 4.84 | 0.24 |  | Multinomial Test |  |  |
| 3 | 5.68 | 4.72 | 3.2 | 0.92 | $64$ | Contingency Tables | n |  |
| 4 | 4.14 | 3.96 | 3.54 | 1.76 | 58 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3.57 | 3.03 | -0.04 | 1.03 | 74 | Bayesian |  |  |
| 6 | 3.7 | 4.11 | 3.44 | 0.58 | 40 | Binomial Test |  |  |
| 7 | 7.17 | 7.58 | 2.45 | 0.44 | 51 | A/B Test <br> Multinomial Test | ant |  |
| 8 | 3.57 | 2.48 | 1.46 | 0.1 | 21 | Contingency Tables |  |  |
| 9 | 3.97 | 3.64 | 2.3 | 0.14 | 27 | Log-Linear Regression |  |  |
| 10 | 4.11 | 4.03 | 4.73 | 0.82 | 75 | nost | ant |  |

## Frequencies

## Menopause

## Histology ante post Total

## $\begin{array}{llll}\text { benign } & 106 & 65 & 171\end{array}$

 malignant 12Total
118 92210

Table: Menopausal status is a predictor, or a confounder, of malignancy in ovarian cancer?

## Odds Ratio

|  | Menopause |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Histology | ante | post | Total |
| benign | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | 65 | 171 |
| malignant | 12 | 27 | 39 |
| Total | 118 | 92 | 210 |

## Example (Odds Ratio)

Explore the output of the Odds Ratio ( $2 \times 2$ only) checkbox in the Statistics menu of the contingency table of Histology (Rows) versus Menopause (Columns).

## Bayes Theorem

$$
P(\text { malignant } \mid \text { ante })=\frac{P(\text { ante } \mid \text { malignant })}{P(\text { ante })} \cdot P(\text { malignant })
$$

|  | Menopause |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Histology | ante | post | Total |
| benign | 106 | 65 | 171 |
| malignant | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 27 | 39 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | 92 | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |

- prevalence
- sensitivity and specificity
- predictive values
- ...
https://ictpmmp.weebly.com/lecture-notes.html
professor Luigi Rigon


## the Bayes factor: JASP core business!

- Alice has a balanced urn with 5 winning black balls and 5 white balls $(p=0.5)$
- Bob has a tricky urn with 6 winning black balls and 4 white balls ( $p=0.6$ ).
(binomial scheme, extractions with replacement) we observe 115 successes over 200 draws, but without knowing if they are generated from Alice's or Bob's urn.


## the Bayes factor: JASP core business!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X=115 \mid \text { Alice })=\binom{200}{115} \cdot 0.5^{115} \cdot 0.5^{200-115} \approx 0.006 \\
& P(X=115 \mid \text { Bob })=\binom{200}{115} \cdot 0.6^{115} \cdot 0.4^{200-115} \approx 0.044 \\
& \begin{array}{c|c|c}
R & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
> dbinom(115, 200, 0.5)
    [1] 0.005955892
> dbinom(115, 200, 0.6)
    [1] 0.04399862
```


## the Bayes factor: JASP core business!

$$
\frac{P(X=115 \mid \text { Bob })}{P(X=115 \mid \text { Alice })} \approx \frac{.044}{.006} \approx 7.4
$$

it is much more likely that the balls have been drawned by Bob's urn: about seven times higher
the Bayes factor:

$$
\frac{P\left(D \mid M_{1}\right)}{P\left(D \mid M_{2}\right)}=\frac{P\left(M_{1} \mid D\right)}{P\left(M_{2} \mid D\right)} \cdot \frac{P\left(M_{2}\right)}{P\left(M_{1}\right)}
$$

